

One-Page Expert Ask: UC Rigidity Taxonomy

Joseph Scott Penman

January 27, 2026

Unique Continuation as a Universal Rigidity Principle: A Taxonomy of Success and Obstruction

1 The UC Engine (Abstract)

Six-Step UC Pipeline

1. **Carleman estimates:** Weighted L^2 control
2. **Three-cylinder/cone:** Quantitative propagation
3. **Doubling:** Iteration to explicit bounds
4. **Frequency:** Almgren-type frequency functionals
5. **Vanishing order:** Finite vanishing order from doubling
6. **Blow-up contradiction:** Finite order \Rightarrow no singularity

2 The Form Class (Universal)

Form-Boundedness

$$\mathcal{A}_{V,r}(t) = \sup_{\|f\|_{H_0^1(B_r)}=1} \int_{B_r} |V||f|^2$$

Universal lemma: Energy $\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{V,r} \in L_t^2$

Covers: Vorticity (NS), curvature (YM, WM, HM), Schrödinger potentials, drift terms.

3 The Rigidity Theorem

UC Rigidity

If: Form-boundedness + UC-doubling + geometry supports backward propagation

Then: No finite-time singularities exist

Applies to: Elliptic, parabolic, hyperbolic (fixed geometry)

Examples: Schrödinger, NS, MHD, YM heat, HM heat, wave maps, YM wave

4 The Obstruction Theorem

Einstein Obstructions

If: Fully dynamical Lorentzian geometry (Einstein vacuum)

Then: Six geometric obstructions prevent UC closure:

1. Trapped null geodesics
2. Non-coercive wave operator
3. Gauge instability
4. Weight degeneration
5. Backward uniqueness failure
6. Circularity of curvature control

Conclusion: UC rigidity mechanism not structurally well-posed for fully dynamical geometries.

5 The Taxonomy

Classification

Rigidity class \mathcal{R} : UC + form-boundedness \Rightarrow rigidity

Obstruction class \mathcal{O} : Geometric obstructions prevent closure

Boundary: Fixed geometry $\Rightarrow \mathcal{R}$, dynamical geometry $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}$

6 Where to Attack if Wrong

Expert Questions

1. **Is form-boundedness really universal?** Can you find a counterexample where form-boundedness holds but UC fails?
2. **Is the boundary correct?** Are there systems with “slowly varying” geometry that are in \mathcal{R} but not \mathcal{O} ?
3. **Are the Einstein obstructions complete?** Is there a way to overcome them with extra assumptions?
4. **Is the taxonomy complete?** Are there systems that don't fit into \mathcal{R} or \mathcal{O} ?